arXiv:1607.07644v1 [math.GR] 26 Jul 2016

The concept of duality for automata over a changing alphabet and generation of a free group by such automata

Adam Woryna[a]

[a]*Silesian University of Technology, Institute of Mathematics, ul. Kaszubska 23, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland*

Abstract

In the paper, we deal with the notion of an automaton over a changing alphabet, which generalizes the concept of a Mealy-type automaton. We modify the methods based on the idea of a dual automaton and its action used by B. Steinberg et al. (2011) and M. Vorobets and Ya. Vorobets (2007,2010) [16, 18] and adapt them to automata over a changing alphabet. We show that this modification provides some naturally defined automaton representations of a free nonabelian group by a 2-state automaton over a changing alphabet.

Keywords: Mealy automaton, group generated by an automaton, free group
2010 MSC: 20F05, 20E22, 20E18, 20E08

1. Introduction

The combinatorial language of transducers (also known as Mealy automata) turned out to be useful in the group theory for describing groups acting on a rooted tree. By definition, a Mealy automaton A over a finite alphabet X is a set Q of states equipped with a transition function $\varphi\colon Q \times X \to Q$ and an output function $\psi\colon Q \times X \to X$. Every state $q \in Q$, through a transition and an output function, induces a transformation of a tree of finite words X^* over the alphabet X. If these transformations are all invertible, then A is called invertible and the group generated by them (with the composition of mappings as a product) is called the group generated by A. The extensive presentation of the theory of groups generated by Mealy automata is included in the survey paper [10] (for various aspects and constructions regarding automaton groups and semigroups see also [1, 3, 6, 9, 13]).

In recent years the automaton representations of free nonabelian groups are intensively investigated (see for example [2, 7, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20]). The combined results of [16], [17] and [18] are based on the notion of a dual automaton of a given Mealy automaton and describe the action of a dual automaton to prove

Email address: adam.woryna@polsl.pl (Adam Woryna)

that the constructed automata generate free nonabelian groups (see also [15] generalizing some results of [16]). In this way, for every $n \geq 3$ a free nonabelian group of rank n was presented as a group generated by an n-state Mealy automaton over the binary alphabet $X = \{0, 1\}$. The invertible automata considered in [16, 17, 18] have the property that their dual automata are also invertible. Such automata are called bireversible. The groups generated by bireversible automata are very convenient to study via the action of the corresponding dual automata. Moreover, the transformations of X^* defined by all bireversible automata over the alphabet X form a group isomorphic to the group of the so-called geometric automorphisms of a free group with the basis X (see [7] or [11]).

Every Mealy automaton has the same structure in all the moments of the discrete time scale and it defines the group acting on a regular rooted tree. However, finding an automaton representation of a given abstract group G is difficult in general. For example, the problem of constructing a 2-state Mealy automaton (over some finite alphabet X) generating a free nonabelian group is still open and we even do not know if such an automaton exists. Thus it would be interesting to investigate various directions in generalization of the concept of a Mealy automaton, which allow to find and study representations of groups. This paper constitutes such an approach. Namely, we use the idea of a changing alphabet and an automaton over a changing alphabet to provide some naturally defined automaton representations of a free nonabelian group by a 2-state automaton over a changing alphabet.

Automata over a changing alphabet constitute a particular subclass of the class of time-varying automata working over a changing alphabet (the notion of a time-varying automaton previously concerned automata over a fixed alphabet, and the research on them was mainly concentrated on their structural properties - see [12]). The class of time-varying automata over a changing alphabet was first defined in the paper by the author [19], where he showed how this concept can be used for describing groups acting on spherically homogeneous rooted trees which are not necessarily regular (see also [20, 21, 22]). Groups acting on regular rooted trees are studied via the language of time-varying automata (over a fixed alphabet) also in the papers by Anna Erschler [4, 5], and the first implicit appearance of these groups dates back to the paper by R. Grigorchuk [8]. In the paper [20] we constructed other realizations of a free nonabelian group of rank 2 as a group generated by time-varying automata, one of which was given by a 2-state automaton over a changing alphabet. However, transition functions of that automaton are of diagonal type, which implies quite complicated description of output functions and in this way misses the essential feature of desirable automaton presentations, namely, simplicity.

A changing alphabet we define as an infinite sequence

$$X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots)$$

of finite sets, which are called sets of letters. The set $X^*_{(1)}$ of all words over the changing alphabet X we define as a disjoint union of cartesian products

$$X_1 \times X_2 \times \ldots \times X_m, \quad m \geq 1. \tag{1}$$

It is assumed that $X^*_{(1)}$ contains also the empty word denoted by $\emptyset$. Since the elements of a word $w \in X^*_{(1)}$ are called letters, we will not separate them by commas and we will write $w = x_1x_2\dots x_m$, where $x_j \in X_j$ are the letters. For a given $m \geq 1$ the product (1) constitutes the set of words of the length m. The set $X^*_{(1)}$ has the structure of a spherically homogeneous rooted tree. The empty word is the root of this tree and two words are connected if and only if they are of the form w and wx for some word $w \in X^*_{(1)}$ and a letter $x \in X_{|w|+1}$, where $|w|$ denotes the length of w. The m-th level ($m \geq 1$) of the tree $X^*_{(1)}$ (that is the set of vertices at the distance m from the root) consists of all words of the length m, and thus coincides with the product (1). It is worth to see that the tree $X^*_{(1)}$ is regular if and only if the sizes of the sets of letters coincide.

We define an automaton A over a changing alphabet $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$ as a set Q of states equipped with a sequence $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots)$ of transition functions $\varphi_i \colon Q \times X_i \to Q$ and a sequence $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots)$ of output functions $\psi_i \colon Q \times X_i \to X_i$. If Q is finite, then the automaton A is called finite. The sequences of transition and output functions define for every state $q \in Q$ a transformation $A_{1,q} \colon X^*_{(1)} \to X^*_{(1)}$, which we call an automaton function (corresponding to the state q in the first transition of the automaton A). Every automaton function preserves the lengths of words and common beginnings. This implies that the set of automaton functions defined by automata over a changing alphabet X coincides with the set of all endomorphisms of the tree $X^*_{(1)}$. Moreover, if an automaton function is invertible, then the inverse transformation is also an automaton function. Thus the set of all invertible automaton functions coincide with the group $Aut(X^*_{(1)})$ of automorphisms of the tree $X^*_{(1)}$. In this group we distinguish the proper subgroup $FA(X)$, which consists of all invertible automaton functions defined by finite automata. For a given invertible automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ the automaton functions $A_{1,q}$ defined by the states $q \in Q$ of A are all invertible and the group

$$G(A) = gp\{A_{1,q} \colon q \in Q\}$$

generated by them is called the group generated by the automaton A. In particular, if the automaton A is finite, then $G(A)$ is a finitely generated subgroup of the group $FA(X)$.

In this paper we provide some naturally defined automaton representation of a free nonabelian group of rank 2. We realize this by a 2-state automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi^A, \psi^A)$ over a changing alphabet, where the changing alphabet $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots)$, the set of states and the sequences $\varphi^A = (\varphi^A_1, \varphi^A_2, \dots)$, $\psi^A = (\psi^A_1, \psi^A_2, \dots)$ of transition and output functions are defined as follows:

(a) $X_i = \{1, 2, \dots, r_i\}$, where $(r_1, r_2, \dots)$ is an arbitrary nondecreasing and unbounded sequence of positive integers greater than 1,

(b) $Q = \{a, b\}$,

(c) $\varphi^A_i(q, x) = \begin{cases} a, & x = 1,\ q = b, \\ b, & x = 1,\ q = a, \\ q, & x \neq 1, \end{cases}$

(d) $\psi_i^A(q,x) = \begin{cases} \sigma_i(x), & q = a, \\ \tau_i(x), & q = b, \end{cases}$ where τ_i is a transposition $(1,2)$ and σ_i is an r_i-cycle $(1,2,\ldots,r_i)$ in the symmetric group of X_i.

The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1. *The group $G(A) = gp\{A_{1,a}, A_{1,b}\}$ is a free group of rank 2 generated freely by the automaton functions $A_{1,a}$ and $A_{1,b}$.*

For the proof, we show how the concept of duality may be defined and studied for automata over a changing alphabet. At first, in Section 2, we provide basic definitions concerning automata over a changing alphabet and automaton functions defined by such automata. In Section 3, for a given automaton (X, Q, φ, ψ) over a changing alphabet $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots)$, we introduce the notion of a dual mapping $D_{i,x}\colon Q^* \to Q^*$ corresponding to any letter $x \in X_i$ in the i-th ($i \geq 1$) transition of this automaton and we derive the mutual connection between the action of automaton functions and the action of dual mappings. In Section 4 we introduce the concept of stabilization of an automaton over a changing alphabet. In Section 5 we show that a certain finite and state-invertible automaton B associated with the above defined automaton A is stabilized, and further, in the last section, that the action of dual mappings corresponding to the automaton B is especially convenient to study by using the concept of a pattern (presented also in [16, 17, 18]). Finally, we show how the orbits of this action determine the properties of the group generated by the automaton A, and that the group $G(A)$ is freely generated by the transformations $A_{1,a}$ and $A_{1,b}$.

2. Automata over a changing alphabet

Let $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ be the set of positive integers. The changing alphabet is an infinite sequence $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots)$ of finite nonempty sets, which are called sets of letters. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the set

$$X_{(i)}^* = \{x_1 x_2 \ldots x_m \colon x_j \in X_{i+j-1},\ m \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

of all words over the changing alphabet $X_{(i)} = (X_i, X_{i+1}, \ldots)$, including the empty word $\emptyset$. The length of a word $w = x_1 x_2 \ldots x_m$ is denoted by $|w|$. If $w \in X_{(i)}^*$ and $v \in X_{(i+|w|)}^*$, then wv denotes a concatenation of w and v. Obviously $wv \in X_{(i)}^*$.

Definition 1. An automaton A over a changing alphabet $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots)$ is a set Q together with two infinite sequences $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots)$, $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots)$, in which $\varphi_i\colon Q \times X_i \to Q$ and $\psi_i\colon Q \times X_i \to X_i$ are the so-called transition and output functions, respectively. The elements of Q are called the internal states of the automaton A. The automaton is called finite if the set Q of its internal states is finite.

The automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ may be interpreted as a machine, which being at a moment $i \in \mathbb{N}$ in a state $q \in Q$ and reading from the input tape

a letter $x \in X_i$, goes to the state $\varphi_i(q,x)$, types on the output tape the letter $\psi_i(q,x)$, moves both tapes to the next position and then proceeds further to the next moment $i+1$. The above interpretation defines for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any state $q \in Q$ a natural action $A_{i,q}$ on the set of words $X^*_{(i)}$ as follows

$$A_{i,q}(x_1x_2\dots x_m) = \psi_i(q_1,x_1)\psi_{i+1}(q_2,x_2)\dots\psi_{i+m-1}(q_m,x_m), \qquad (2)$$

where the states $q_j \in Q$ are defined recursively as follows: $q_1 = q$ and $q_{j+1} = \varphi_{i+j-1}(q_j,x_j)$ for $1 \le j < m$. We also let $A_{i,q}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

It is convenient to present an automaton $A = (X,Q,\varphi,\psi)$ as a labeled, directed, locally finite graph with the following set of vertices:

$$\{(i,q)\colon i \in \mathbb{N},\ q \in Q\}.$$

Two vertices are connected with an arrow if and only if they are of the form (i,q) and $(i+1,\varphi_i(q,x))$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in Q$ and $x \in X_i$. This arrow is labeled by x, starts from the vertex (i,q) and goes to the vertex $(i+1,\varphi_i(q,x))$. Each vertex (i,q) is labeled by the corresponding state function

$$\sigma_{i,q}\colon X_i \to X_i, \quad \sigma_{i,q}(x) = \psi_i(q,x). \qquad (3)$$

To make the graph of the automaton clear, we will substitute a large number of arrows connecting two given vertices and having the same direction for a one multi-arrow labeled by suitable letters and if the labelling of such a multi-arrow is obvious we will omit this labelling.

The image of a word $w = x_1x_2\dots x_m$ under the action $A_{i,q}$ can be easily found using the graph of the automaton. One must find a directed path starting in a vertex (i,q) with consecutive labels $x_1,x_2,\dots,x_m$. Such a path exists and is unique. If $\pi_1,\pi_2,\dots,\pi_m$ are the labels of consecutive vertices in this path, then the j-th letter ($1 \le j \le m$) of the image $A_{i,q}(w)$ is equal to $\pi_j(x_j)$.

The transformation $A_{i,q}$ is called the automaton function corresponding to the state $q \in Q$ in the i-th transition of the automaton A. Every automaton function $A_{1,q}\colon X^*_{(1)} \to X^*_{(1)}$ corresponding to some state $q \in Q$ in the first transition of A is called the automaton function defined by A. The semigroup generated by the automaton functions defined by A we denote by $S(A)$:

$$S(A) = sgp\{A_{1,q}\colon q \in Q\}.$$

Definition 2. An automaton $A = (X,Q,\varphi,\psi)$ is called invertible if for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and every state $q \in Q$ the state function $\sigma_{i,q}\colon X_i \to X_i$ is invertible.

If the automaton A is invertible, then we define the inverse automaton of A as the automaton $I = (X,Q,\varphi',\psi')$ with the following sequences $\varphi' = (\varphi'_1,\varphi'_2,\dots)$ and $\psi' = (\psi'_1,\psi'_2,\dots)$ of transition and output functions:

$$\varphi'_i(q,x) = \varphi_i(q,\sigma^{-1}_{i,q}(x)), \quad \psi'_i(q,x) = \sigma^{-1}_{i,q}(x).$$

Proposition 2. *If the automaton A is invertible and I is the inverse automaton of A, then the automaton functions $A_{i,q}\colon X^*_{(i)} \to X^*_{(i)}$ are all invertible and $A^{-1}_{i,q} = I_{i,q}$.*

PROOF. Let $x_1x_2\ldots x_m \in X^*_{(i)}$. Let $y_1y_2\ldots y_m \in X^*_{(i)}$ be the image of $x_1x_2\ldots x_m$ under $A_{i,q}$ and let $z_1z_2\ldots z_m \in X^*_{(i)}$ be the image of $y_1y_2\ldots y_m$ under $I_{i,q}$. By definition of $A_{i,q}$ we have: $y_j = \psi_{i+j-1}(q_j, x_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, where the states q_j are defined recursively as follows: $q_1 = q$, $q_{j+1} = \varphi_{i+j-1}(q_j, x_j)$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$. By definition of $I_{i,q}$ we have: $z_j = \psi'_{i+j-1}(s_j, y_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$, where the states s_j are defined recursively as follows: $s_1 = q$, $s_{j+1} = \varphi'_{i+j-1}(s_j, y_j)$ $(1 \leq j \leq m)$. Let us assume that $s_k = q_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq m$. Since $\sigma_{i+k-1,q_k}(x_k) = \psi_{i+k-1}(q_k, x_k) = y_k$, we have $\sigma^{-1}_{i+k-1,q_k}(y_k) = x_k$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} s_{k+1} &= \varphi'_{i+k-1}(s_k, y_k) = \varphi'_{i+k-1}(q_k, y_k) = \\ &= \varphi_{i+k-1}(q_k, \sigma^{-1}_{i+k-1,q_k}(y_k)) = \varphi_{i+k-1}(q_k, x_k) = q_{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $s_1 = q_1$, we obtain: $s_j = q_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Thus for $1 \leq j \leq m$ we have

$$z_j = \psi'_{i+j-1}(s_j, y_j) = \psi'_{i+j-1}(q_j, y_j) = \sigma^{-1}_{i+j-1,q_j}(y_j) = x_j.$$

As a result we obtain $I_{i,q}A_{i,q} = Id_{X^*_{(i)}}$. By the above, we need only to show the invertibility of $A_{i,q}$. But since all considered maps preserves levels, which are finite sets, the condition $I_{i,q}A_{i,q} = Id_{X^*_{(i)}}$ implies that both maps are bijections on levels. In consequence these maps are invertible.

Thus if the automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ is invertible, then the automaton functions $A_{1,q}$ $(q \in Q)$ generate a group, which we denote by $G(A)$ and call the group generated by A:

$$G(A) = gp\{A_{1,q} \colon q \in Q\}.$$

Let $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ and $A' = (X, Q', \varphi', \psi')$ be two automata over the same changing alphabet $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots)$. If we assume that the sets Q and Q' are disjoint, then we may define an automaton $A'' = (X, Q \cup Q', \varphi'', \psi'')$ in which every transition function φ''_i coincides with φ_i when restricted to $Q \times X_i$ and with φ'_i when restricted to $Q' \times X_i$; every output function ψ''_i coincides with ψ_i when restricted to $Q \times X_i$ and with ψ'_i when restricted to $Q' \times X_i$. The automaton A'' is called the union of automata A and A' and is denoted by $A \cup A'$. If $Q \cap Q' \neq \emptyset$, then we may modify the automata A and A' by renaming some of their states so that the sets of states in the modified automata are disjoint and then we may define the union $A \cup A'$ as the union of the modified automata.

3. Dual mappings $D_{i,x}$ of an automaton

Let $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ be an automaton over the changing alphabet X. Let

$$Q^* = \{q_1q_2\ldots q_n \colon q_j \in Q,\ n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{\emptyset\} = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} Q^n$$

be a free monoid over the set Q of states. For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any letter $x \in X_i$ the transition function φ_i induces the mapping $D_{i,x} \colon Q^* \to Q^*$ defined as follows:

$$D_{i,x}(q_1q_2\ldots q_n) = \varphi_i(q_1, x_1)\,\varphi_i(q_2, x_2)\ldots\varphi_i(q_n, x_n), \tag{4}$$

where the letters $x_j \in X_i$ are defined recursively as follows: $x_1 = x$ and $x_{j+1} = \psi_i(q_j, x_j)$ for $1 \leq j < n$. We also let $D_{i,x}(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. We call $D_{i,x}$ the dual mapping corresponding to the letter $x \in X_i$ in the i-th transition of the automaton A.

The dual mappings $D_{i,x}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in X_i$) of the automaton A can be defined in terms of a dual graph Γ of A, which we define as a labeled, directed graph with the set of vertices

$$\{(i,x)\colon i \in \mathbb{N},\ x \in X_i\}.$$

Two vertices in Γ are connected with an arrow if and only if they are of the form (i,x) and $(i,\psi_i(q,x))$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in Q$ and $x \in X_i$. This arrow is labeled by $q|\varphi_i(q,x)$, starts from the vertex (i,x) and goes to the vertex $(i,\psi_i(q,x))$. The left entry q of the label $q|\varphi_i(q,x)$ is referred to as the input entry while the right entry $\varphi_i(q,x)$ is referred to as the output entry. For a given $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the set of vertices $\{(i,x)\colon x \in X_i\}$ forms a component Γ_i (not necessary connected) of the graph Γ such that Γ is a disjoint union of the components Γ_i. To compute $D_{i,x}(\xi)$ for some $\xi = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n \in Q^*$ we find a directed path in a component Γ_i of Γ which starts in a vertex (i,x) and such that the consecutive input entries in the labelling of this path are $q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n$. Such a path exists and is unique. If $q'_1, q'_2, \dots, q'_n$ are the consecutive output entries in this labelling, then $D_{i,x}(\xi) = q'_1 q'_2 \dots q'_n$.

Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\xi = q_1 q_2 \dots q_n \in Q^*$. We define $A_{i,\xi}$ as the composition of automaton functions corresponding to consecutive states in ξ in the i-th transition of the automaton A, that is $A_{i,\xi} = A_{i,q_n} \dots A_{i,q_2} A_{i,q_1}$. Also, we let $A_{i,\emptyset} = Id_{X^*_{(i)}}$. In particular, we have $A_{i,\xi\eta} = A_{i,\eta} A_{i,\xi}$ for all $\xi, \eta \in Q^*$. It is worth to see that every element of the semigroup $S(A)$ is of the form $A_{1,\xi}$ for some $\xi \in Q^*$. For any $w = x_1 x_2 \dots x_m \in X^*_{(i)}$ we also define $D_{i,w}$ as the composition of dual mappings of the automaton A corresponding to consecutive letters of w as follows: $D_{i,w} = D_{i+m-1,x_m} \dots D_{i+1,x_2} D_{i,x_1}$. Also, we let $D_{i,\emptyset} = Id_{Q^*}$. In particular $D_{i,wv} = D_{i+|w|,v} D_{i,w}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $w \in X^*_{(i)}$ and $v \in X^*_{(i+|w|)}$.

Proposition 3. *For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi, \eta \in Q^*$, $w \in X^*_{(i)}$ and $v \in X^*_{(i+|w|)}$ the following equalities hold*

$$A_{i,\xi}(wv) = A_{i,\xi}(w) A_{i+|w|, D_{i,w}(\xi)}(v). \tag{5}$$

$$D_{i,w}(\xi\eta) = D_{i,w}(\xi) D_{i, A_{i,\xi}(w)}(\eta), \tag{6}$$

Proof. If $\xi = \emptyset$ then

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,\xi}(wv) &= A_{i,\emptyset}(wv) = wv = A_{i,\emptyset}(w) A_{i+|w|,\emptyset}(v) = \\ &= A_{i,\xi}(w) A_{i+|w|, D_{i,w}(\xi)}(v) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D_{i,w}(\xi\eta) = D_{i,w}(\eta) = \emptyset D_{i, A_{i,\emptyset}(w)}(\eta) = D_{i,w}(\xi) D_{i, A_{i,\xi}(w)}(\eta).$$

In the same way we show that (5) and (6) hold in case $w = \emptyset$. Now, let us assume that $|\xi| = |w| = 1$. Then $\xi = q$ and $w = x_0$ for some $q \in Q$ and $x_0 \in X_i$. By definition, for any $v = x_1 \dots x_m \in X^*_{(i+1)}$ we have

$$A_{i,\xi}(wv) = A_{i,q}(x_0 x_1 \dots x_m) = \psi_i(s_0, x_0) \psi_{i+1}(s_1, x_1) \dots \psi_{i+m}(s_m, x_m),$$

where $s_0 = q$ and $s_{j+1} = \varphi_{i+j}(s_j, x_j)$ for $0 \leq j < m$. Since $D_{i,w}(\xi) = D_{i,x_0}(s_0) = \varphi_i(s_0, x_0) = s_1$, we have

$$A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi)}(v) = A_{i+1,s_1}(x_1 \dots x_m) = \psi_{i+1}(s_1, x_1) \dots \psi_{i+m}(s_m, x_m).$$

Now, since $A_{i,\xi}(w) = A_{i,s_0}(x_0) = \psi_i(s_0, x_0)$, we obtain (5) in this case. Similarly, for any $\eta = q_1 \dots q_n \in Q^*$ we have

$$D_{i,w}(\xi\eta) = D_{i,x_0}(q_0 q_1 \dots q_n) = \varphi_i(q_0, y_0)\varphi_i(q_1, y_1) \dots \varphi_i(q_n, y_n),$$

where $q_0 = q$, $y_0 = x_0$ and $y_{j+1} = \psi_i(q_j, y_j)$ for $0 \leq j < n$. Since $A_{i,\xi}(w) = A_{i,q_0}(x_0) = \psi_i(q_0, x_0) = y_1$, we have

$$D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(w)}(\eta) = D_{i,y_1}(q_1 \dots q_n) = \varphi_i(q_1, y_1) \dots \varphi_i(q_n, y_n).$$

Now, since $D_{i,w}(\xi) = D_{i,x_0}(q_0) = \varphi_i(q_0, x_0)$, we obtain (6) in this case.

Further, we prove the proposition by induction on the sum of lengths of words w and ξ. By the above, (5) and (6) hold for all $\xi \in Q^*$ and $w \in X^*_{(i)}$ with $|\xi| + |w| \leq 2$. Now, let us take $n > 2$ and let us assume that (5) and (6) hold for all $\xi \in Q^*$ and $w \in X^*_{(i)}$ with $|\xi| + |w| < n$. Let $\xi \in Q^*$ and $w \in X^*_{(i)}$ be both nonempty and such that $|\xi| + |w| < n$ and let $x \in X_{|w|+i}$ and $q \in Q$. We show the following two equalities:

$$A_{i,\xi q}(wv) = A_{i,\xi q}(w) A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi q)}(v)$$

and

$$D_{i,wx}(\xi\eta) = D_{i,wx}(\xi) D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(wx)}(\eta).$$

By the inductive assumption for the first one we have:

$$A_{i,\xi q}(wv) = A_{i,q} A_{i,\xi}(wv) = A_{i,q}(A_{i,\xi}(w) A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi)}(v)). \tag{7}$$

Since $|A_{i,\xi}(w)| = |w|$ and $|q| + |A_{i,\xi}(w)| = 1 + |w| \leq |\xi| + |w| < n$, by the inductive assumption the right side of (7) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &A_{i,q}(A_{i,\xi}(w) A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi)}(v)) = \\ &= A_{i,q}(A_{i,\xi}(w)) A_{i+|w|,D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(w)}(q)}(A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi)}(v)) = \\ &= A_{i,\xi q}(w) A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi) D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(w)}(q)}(v) = \\ &= A_{i,\xi q}(w) A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi q)}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the second one we have:

$$D_{i,wx}(\xi\eta) = D_{i+|w|,x} D_{i,w}(\xi\eta) = D_{i+|w|,x}(D_{i,w}(\xi) D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(w)}(\eta)). \tag{8}$$

Since $|D_{i,w}(\xi)| = |\xi|$ and $|x| + |D_{i,w}(\xi)| = 1 + |\xi| \leq |w| + |\xi| < n$, we obtain by the inductive assumption for the right side of (8):

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{i+|w|,x}(D_{i,w}(\xi) D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(w)}(\eta)) = \\ &= D_{i+|w|,x}(D_{i,w}(\xi)) D_{i+|w|,A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi)}(x)}(D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(w)}(\eta)) = \\ &= D_{i,wx}(\xi) D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(w) A_{i+|w|,D_{i,w}(\xi)}(x)}(\eta) = \\ &= D_{i,wx}(\xi) D_{i,A_{i,\xi}(wx)}(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

The inductive argument finishes the proof.

We denote the semigroup generated by dual mappings of the automaton A by $DS(A)$:

$$DS(A) = sgp\{D_{i,x} \colon i \in \mathbb{N},\ x \in X_i\}.$$

Obviously, every mapping $D_{i,w}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$, $w \in X_{(i)}^*$) belongs to $DS(A)$.

Definition 3. An automaton $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ is called state-invertible if for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any letter $x \in X_i$ the mapping $Q \ni q \mapsto \varphi_i(q, x) \in Q$ is invertible.

Proposition 4. *If the automaton A is state-invertible, then the mappings $D_{i,w}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$, $w \in X_{(i)}^*$) are invertible.*

Proof. We need only to show that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x \in X_i$ the dual mapping $D_{i,x}$ is invertible. Since this mapping preserves the lengths of words, we must only to show that $D_{i,x}$ is one-to-one. So, let $q_1 \dots q_n \in Q^*$, $q'_1 \dots q'_n \in Q^*$ and let $1 \leq j_0 \leq n$ be the smallest number such that $q_{j_0} \neq q'_{j_0}$. By definition of a dual mapping we have $D_{i,x}(q_1 \dots q_n) = s_1 \dots s_n$, where $s_j = \varphi_i(q_j, x_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and the letters $x_j \in X_i$ are defined recursively: $x_1 = x$ and $x_{j+1} = \psi_i(q_j, x_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Also $D_{i,x}(q'_1 \dots q'_n) = s'_1 \dots s'_n$, where $s'_j = \varphi_i(q'_j, x'_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and the letters $x'_j \in X_i$ are defined recursively: $x'_1 = x$ and $x'_{j+1} = \psi_i(q'_j, x'_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since $x'_1 = x_1 = x$ and $q_j = q'_j$ for $1 \leq j < j_0$, we obtain $x_j = x'_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq j_0$. In consequence $s'_j = s_j$ for $1 \leq j < j_0$. Since $q'_{j_0} \neq q_{j_0}$ and the mapping $q \mapsto \varphi_i(q, x_{j_0})$ is invertible, we obtain

$$s'_{j_0} = \varphi_i(q'_{j_0}, x'_{j_0}) = \varphi_i(q'_{j_0}, x_{j_0}) \neq \varphi_i(q_{j_0}, x_{j_0}) = s_{j_0}$$

In consequence $D_{i,x}$ is one-to-one.

In particular, if the automaton A is state-invertible, then the dual mappings of A are all invertible. We denote the group generated by them by $DG(A)$:

$$DG(A) = gp\{D_{i,x} \colon i \in \mathbb{N},\ x \in X_i\}.$$

4. The stabilization of an automaton

Let $A = (X, Q, \varphi, \psi)$ be an automaton over the changing alphabet X and let $f, g \in DS(A)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we say that f and g are n-equivalent and we write $f \sim_n g$ if the restrictions of f and g to the set Q^n coincide, that is $f(\xi) = g(\xi)$ for every $\xi \in Q^n$. Since the mappings from the semigroup $DS(A)$ preserve the lengths of words, the relation $\sim_n$ is an equivalence relation on this semigroup, and if A is invertible, then $\sim_n$ is an equivalence relation also on the group $DG(A)$.

Let S and T be two sets of transformations of the monoid Q^*. We say that S and T are n-equivalent and we write $S \sim_n T$ if the following condition holds: for any $f \in S$ there is $g \in T$ such that $f \sim_n g$ and for any $f \in T$ there is $g \in S$ such that $f \sim_n g$.

Definition 4. The numbers $i, i' \in \mathbb{N}$ are said to be n-equivalent if

$$\{D_{i,x} \colon x \in X_i\} \sim_n \{D_{i',x} \colon x \in X_{i'}\}.$$

If the numbers $i, i' \in \mathbb{N}$ are n-equivalent, then we write $i \sim_n i'$.

Definition 5. The automaton A is called stabilized if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $i \sim_n i'$ for any $i, i' \geq \lambda_n$. The sequence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ is called the stabilizing sequence of A.

Proposition 5. *If the automaton A is stabilized with the stabilizing sequence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$, then $\{D_{i,w} \colon w \in X^*_{(i)}\} \sim_n \{D_{\lambda_n,w} \colon w \in X^*_{(\lambda_n)}\}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \geq \lambda_n$.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i \geq \lambda_n$. By definition, if $w = x_1 \ldots x_m \in X^*_{(i)}$, then $D_{i,w} = D_{i+m-1,x_m} \ldots D_{i,x_1}$. But for $1 \leq j \leq m$ we have $i + j - 1 \sim_n \lambda_n + j - 1$ and thus $D_{i+j-1,x_j} \sim_n D_{\lambda_n+j-1,y_j}$ for some $y_j \in X_{i'+j-1}$. Since $\sim_n$ is an equivalence relation on the semigroup $DS(A)$, we have: $D_{i,w} \sim_n D_{\lambda_n,v}$, where $v = y_1 \ldots y_m \in X^*_{(\lambda_n)}$. Similarly, we obtain that for every $w \in X^*_{(\lambda_n)}$ there is $v \in X^*_{(i)}$ such that $D_{\lambda_n,w} \sim_n D_{i,v}$.

Proposition 6. *If the automaton A is stabilized with the stabilizing sequence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$, then*

$$sgp\{D_{i,w} \colon i \geq \lambda_n,\ w \in X^*_{(i)}\} \sim_n \{D_{\lambda_n,w} \colon w \in X^*_{(\lambda_n)}\} \tag{9}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if A is finite and state-invertible then

$$gp\{D_{i,w} \colon i \geq \lambda_n,\ w \in X^*_{(i)}\} \sim_n \{D_{\lambda_n,w} \colon w \in X^*_{(\lambda_n)}\} \tag{10}$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition, every mapping $D_{i,w}$ with $i \geq \lambda_n$ and $w \in X^*_{(i)}$ is the composition of dual mappings of the form D_{i_j,x_j} for some $i_j \geq \lambda_n$ and $x_j \in X_{i_j}$. Thus every element h from the semigroup on the left side of (9) is equal to the composition $D_{i_1,x_1} D_{i_2,x_2} \ldots D_{i_m,x_m}$, where $i_j \geq \lambda_n$ and $x_j \in X_{i_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. From the stabilization of A we obtain $i_j \sim_n \lambda_n + m - j$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Thus $D_{i_j,x_j} \sim_n D_{\lambda_n+m-j,y_j}$ for some $y_j \in X_{\lambda_n+m-j}$. Since $\sim_n$ is an equivalence relation on the semigroup $DS(A)$, we have

$$h \sim_n D_{\lambda_n+m-1,y_1} D_{\lambda_n+m-2,y_2} \ldots D_{\lambda_n,y_m} = D_{\lambda_n,w},$$

where $w = y_m y_{m-1} \ldots y_1 \in X^*_{(\lambda_n)}$. On the other hand, every element of the form $D_{\lambda_n,w}$ belongs to the semigroup on the left side of (9). This implies the equivalence (9).

By the above, to prove (10) we need only to show that for any $i \geq \lambda_n$ and any letter $x \in X_i$ the inverse mapping $(D_{i,x})^{-1}$ is n-equivalent to the mapping $D_{\lambda_n,w}$ for some $w \in X^*_{(\lambda_n)}$. Since the dual mapping $D_{i,x}$ is invertible, the restriction of $D_{i,x}$ to the set Q^n defines a permutation of this set. Since the

automaton A is finite, the set Q^n is finite. In consequence, there is $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the restriction of the power $D_{i,x}^p$ to the set Q^n is an identity permutation of this set, that is $D_{i,x}^p \sim_n Id_{Q^*}$. Since $\sim_n$ is an equivalence relation on the group $DG(A)$, we obtain $D_{i,x}^{-1} \sim_n D_{i,x}^{p-1}$. Thus from the equivalence (9) we obtain $D_{i,x}^{-1} \sim_n D_{i,x}^{p-1} \sim_n D_{\lambda_n,w}$ for some $w \in X_{(\lambda_n)}^*$. The claim follows.

5. The automaton generating a free group and the automaton B

Let $A = (X, Q, \varphi^A, \psi^A)$ be the automaton over a changing alphabet defined by conditions (a)-(d) from the introduction. Figure 1 shows the graph of the automaton A. For the state functions $\sigma_{i,q} \colon X_i \to X_i$ of A we have: $\sigma_{i,a} = \sigma_i$



Figure 1: The graph of the automaton A

and $\sigma_{i,b} = \tau_i$. In particular the automaton A is invertible. Let I be the inverse automaton of A and let I_- be the automaton obtained from I by renaming the states a, b to a^{-1} and b^{-1} respectively. The symbols a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1} are regarded as elements of a free group with the basis $Q = \{a, b\}$.

Let us consider the union $B = A \cup I_-$ of automata A and I_-. Then B is an automaton over the same changing alphabet $X = (X_1, X_2, \ldots)$ and with the 4-element set $Q_\pm = \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ of internal states. Figure 2 shows the graph of the automaton B. By Proposition 2, the automaton functions $B_{i,q}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in Q_\pm$) satisfy:

$$B_{i,a} = A_{i,a},\ B_{i,b} = A_{i,b},\ B_{i,a^{-1}} = A_{i,a}^{-1},\ B_{i,b^{-1}} = A_{i,b}^{-1}. \tag{11}$$

In consequence $B_{i,\xi}^{-1} = B_{i,\xi^{-1}}$ for every $\xi \in Q_\pm^*$. Also we have $G(A) = S(B)$.

The transition functions $\varphi_i \colon Q_\pm \times X_i \to Q_\pm$ of the automaton B are de-



Figure 2: The graph of the automaton $B = A \cup I_-$

scribed by formulas

$$\varphi_i(q,x) = \begin{cases} q, & x \neq 1,2, \\ a, & x = 1,\ q = b, \\ b, & x = 1,\ q = a, \\ a^{-1}, & x = 1,\ q = a^{-1}, \\ b^{-1}, & x = 1,\ q = b^{-1}, \\ a, & x = 2,\ q = a, \\ b, & x = 2,\ q = b, \\ a^{-1}, & x = 2,\ q = b^{-1}, \\ b^{-1}, & x = 2,\ q = a^{-1}, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

For the output functions $\psi_i \colon Q_\pm \times X_i \to X_i$ of the automaton B we have:

$$\psi_i(q,x) = \begin{cases} \pi_{i,1}(x), & q = a, \\ \pi_{i,2}(x), & q = a^{-1}, \\ \pi_{i,3}(x), & q \in \{b, b^{-1}\}, \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where $\pi_{i,1} = \sigma_i$, $\pi_{i,2} = \sigma_i^{-1}$ and $\pi_{i,3} = \tau_i$. From formula (12) we see that the automaton B is state-invertible. Consequently, the dual mappings $D_{i,x}$ of this automaton and the mappings $D_{i,w}$ ($i \in \mathbb{N}$, $w \in X^*_{(i)}$) are all invertible. The component Γ_i of the dual graph of the automaton B, which describes the action of dual mappings $D_{i,x}$ ($x \in X_i$), is presented in Figure 3.

Let λ_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) be the smallest number such that $r_{\lambda_n} > 2n$. Since the sequence $(r_1, r_2, \ldots)$ is unbounded, such a number exists. Obviously, the sequence



Figure 3: The component Γ_i of the dual graph of the automaton B

$(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$ is nondecreasing.

Proposition 7. *The automaton B is stabilized with the stabilizing sequence $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots)$.*

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the sequence $(r_1, r_2, \ldots)$ is nondecreasing, we have $r_i > 2n$ for every $i \geq \lambda_n$. For any $i \geq \lambda_n$ let us define the subset $\widetilde{X}_i \subseteq X_i$ as follows

$$\widetilde{X}_i = \{x \in X_i \colon x \leq n+1\} \cup \{x \in X_i \colon x > r_i - n + 1\}.$$

If $x \in X_i \setminus \widetilde{X}_i$, then $n+2 \leq x \leq r_i - n + 1$ and thus $|x - \pi_{i,\epsilon}(x)| \leq 1$ for any $\epsilon \in \{1,2,3\}$. Consequently, by easy inductive argument, for any sequence $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ in which $x_1 \in X_i \setminus \widetilde{X}_i$, $x_{j+1} = \pi_{i,\epsilon_j}(x_j)$, $\epsilon_j \in \{1,2,3\}$ $(1 \leq j \leq n)$ we obtain: $n + 3 - j \leq x_j \leq r_i - n + j$. In particular, for $1 \leq j \leq n$ we have: $x_j \in X_i \setminus \{1,2\}$. Hence, by formula (12) we obtain $\varphi_i(q, x_j) = q$ for $q \in Q_\pm$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. Let $i \geq \lambda_n$ and $x \in X_i$. Then for every $\xi = q_1 \ldots q_n \in Q_\pm^n$ we have $D_{i,x}(\xi) = \varphi_i(q_1, x_1) \ldots \varphi_i(q_n, x_n)$, where $x_1 = x$, $x_{j+1} = \pi_{i,\epsilon_j}(x_j)$, $\epsilon_j \in \{1,2,3\}$ $(1 \leq j < n)$. By the above, if $x \in X_i \setminus \widetilde{X}_i$, then $D_{i,x}(\xi) = \xi$ for every $\xi \in Q_\pm^n$. In particular, for every $i, i' \geq \lambda_n$, $x \in X_i \setminus \widetilde{X}_i$ and $y \in X_{i'} \setminus \widetilde{X}_{i'}$ we have: $D_{i,x} \sim_n D_{i',y} \sim_n Id_{Q_\pm^*}$. For $i \geq \lambda_n$ and $\epsilon \in \{1,2\}$ let us define a letter $t_{i,\epsilon} \in X_i$ as follows

$$t_{i,\epsilon} = \begin{cases} r_i - n + 1, & \epsilon = 1, \\ n + 2, & \epsilon = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then we easily verify that $\widetilde{X}_i = \{\pi_{i,\epsilon}(t_{i,\epsilon}), \pi^2_{i,\epsilon}(t_{i,\epsilon}), \ldots, \pi^{2n}_{i,\epsilon}(t_{i,\epsilon})\}$. Let us fix $i, i' \geq \lambda_n$. The mapping $\widetilde{X}_i \ni x \mapsto x' \in \widetilde{X}_{i'}$ defined as follows

$$x' = \begin{cases} x, & x \leq n+1, \\ r_{i'} - r_i + x, & x > r_i - n + 1. \end{cases}$$

is a bijection, for which we have: if $x = r_i - n + j$ for some $1 < j \leq n$ then $x' = r_{i'} - n + j$. This implies that if $x = \pi^k_{i,\epsilon}(t_{i,\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon \in \{1, 2\}$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2n$, then $x' = \pi^k_{i',\epsilon}(t_{i',\epsilon})$. From this observation for $\epsilon \in \{1, 2\}$ and $x \in \widetilde{X}_i$ we obtain the following two conditions: (i) $\pi_{i,\epsilon}(x) \in \widetilde{X}_i$ if and only if $\pi_{i',\epsilon}(x') \in \widetilde{X}_{i'}$, (ii) if $\pi_{i,\epsilon}(x) \in \widetilde{X}_i$, then $(\pi_{i,\epsilon}(x))' = \pi_{i',\epsilon}(x')$. We easily check (i) and (ii) also for $\epsilon = 3$. Beside that, directly from formula (12) we obtain: (iii) $\varphi_i(q, x) = \varphi_{i'}(q, x')$ for every $x \in \widetilde{X}_i$ and $q \in Q_\pm$. Now, let $x \in \widetilde{X}_i$. For every $\xi = q_1 \ldots q_n \in Q^n_\pm$ there are $\epsilon_j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $1 \leq j < n$ such that: $D_{i,x}(\xi) = \varphi_i(q_1, x_1) \ldots \varphi_i(q_n, x_n)$, where $x_1 = x$ and $x_{j+1} = \pi_{i,\epsilon_j}(x_j)$ for $1 \leq j < n$. Similarly: $D_{i',x'}(\xi) = \varphi_{i'}(q_1, z_1) \ldots \varphi_{i'}(q_n, z_n)$, where $z_1 = x'$ and $z_{j+1} = \pi_{i',\epsilon_j}(z_j)$ for $1 \leq j < n$. Let $1 \leq j_0 \leq n$ be the maximum number such that $x_j \in \widetilde{X}_i$ for $1 \leq j \leq j_0$. From (i)-(iii) we obtain: $z_j = x'_j$ and $\varphi_{i'}(q_j, z_j) = \varphi_i(q_j, x_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq j_0$. Moreover, for $j_0 < j \leq n$ we have: $x_j \in X_i \setminus \{1, 2\}$ and $z_j \in X_{i'} \setminus \{1, 2\}$. In particular $\varphi_i(q_j, x_j) = \varphi_{i'}(q_j, z_j) = q_j$ for $j_0 < j \leq n$. As a result we obtain $D_{i,x}(\xi) = D_{i',x'}(\xi)$. Thus $D_{i,x} \sim_n D_{i',x'}$ for any $x \in \widetilde{X}_i$. In consequence the sets $\{D_{i,x} \colon x \in X_i\}$ and $\{D_{i',x} \colon x \in X_{i'}\}$ are n-equivalent, that is $i \sim_n i'$ for any $i, i' \geq \lambda_n$.

6. The action of dual mappings

Let us consider the set $\{*, *^{-1}\}$ of two symbols: $*$ and $*^{-1}$. Every word over $\{*, *^{-1}\}$ (that is every element of a free monoid generated by $\{*, *^{-1}\}$) is called a pattern. From every word $\xi \in Q^*_\pm$ we may obtain a pattern V by substituting $*$ for each occurrence of letters a, b and substituting $*^{-1}$ for each occurrence of letters a^{-1}, b^{-1}. Then we say that V is the pattern of ξ or that ξ follows the pattern V. We say that a word $\xi = q_1 q_2 \ldots q_n \in Q^*_\pm$ is freely irreducible if none of its two-letter subwords $q_1q_2, q_2q_3, \ldots, q_{n-1}q_n$ coincides with one of the following words: aa^{-1}, $a^{-1}a$, bb^{-1}, $b^{-1}b$.

Lemma 1. *For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $w \in X^*_{(i)}$ and $\xi \in Q^*_\pm$ the words $D_{i,w}(\xi)$ and ξ follow the same pattern, and $D_{i,w}(\xi)$ is freely irreducible if and only if ξ is freely irreducible.*

Proof. By Proposition 3 for any dual mapping $D_{i,x}$ and any $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in Q^*_\pm$, we have $D_{i,x}(\xi_1\xi_2\xi_3) = D_{i,x}(\xi_1)D_{i,y}(\xi_2)D_{i,z}(\xi_3)$ for some $y, z \in X_i$. Since the automaton B is a disjoint union of automata with sets of states $\{a, b\}$ and $\{a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ respectively, we obtain that $D_{i,x}$ preserves both the set $\{a, b\}$ and the set $\{a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$. In consequence, for every $\xi \in Q^*_\pm$ the words ξ and $D_{i,x}(\xi)$ follow the same pattern. Directly by formula (12) or by the component Γ_i of the dual graph of the automaton B we see that $D_{i,x}$ preserves the following

sets: $\{a^{-1}b, b^{-1}a\}$, $\{ab^{-1}, ba^{-1}\}$, $\{aa^{-1}, bb^{-1}\}$ and $\{a^{-1}a, b^{-1}b\}$. As a result we obtain that $D_{i,x}(\xi)$ is freely irreducible if and only if ξ is freely irreducible. Now, the claim follows from the fact that $D_{i,w}$ is a composition of dual mappings.

Every nonempty pattern V has a unique decomposition $V = V_I V_{II}$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) if V_I is nonempty, then the last letter of V_I coincides with the first letter of V_{II},

(ii) $V_{II} = (**^{-1})^l *^r$ or $V_{II} = (*^{-1}*)^l *^{-r}$ for some $l \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(l, r) \neq (0, 0)$. In particular V_{II} is nonempty.

We call V_I and V_{II} respectively the first part and the second part of V.

Similarly, every nonempty word $\xi \in Q_\pm^*$ has a unique decomposition $\xi = \xi_I \xi_{II}$ into the first part ξ_I and the second part ξ_{II} described as follows: if V is the pattern of ξ and $V = V_I V_{II}$ is the corresponding decomposition of V, then V_I is the pattern of ξ_I and V_{II} is the pattern of ξ_{II}. In particular, if ξ is nonempty, then its second part ξ_{II} is also nonempty.

For any $\xi \in Q_\pm^*$ we denote by $\widetilde{\xi} \in Q_\pm^*$ the word arising from ξ by substitution of every a for b, every b for a, every a^{-1} for b^{-1} and every b^{-1} for a^{-1}. Obviously ξ and $\widetilde{\xi}$ follow the same pattern and ξ is freely irreducible if and only if $\widetilde{\xi}$ is freely irreducible.

Lemma 2. *If a word $\xi = \xi_I \xi_{II} \in Q_\pm^*$ is freely irreducible, then $D_{i,w}(\xi) = D_{i,w}(\xi_I)\xi_{II}$ or $D_{i,w}(\xi) = D_{i,w}(\xi_I)\widetilde{\xi_{II}}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $w \in X_{(i)}^*$.*

Proof. The thesis is obvious in the case when ξ is empty. So, let us assume that ξ is nonempty. By Proposition 3 we have $D_{i,w}(\xi) = D_{i,w}(\xi_I) D_{i,v}(\xi_{II})$ for some $v \in X_{(i)}^*$. By Lemma 1 the words $D_{i,v}(\xi_{II})$ and ξ_{II} are freely irreducible and follow the same pattern. The words ξ_{II} and $\widetilde{\xi_{II}}$ are distinct, freely irreducible and also follow the same pattern. But this pattern is equal to $(**^{-1})^l *^r$ or to $(*^{-1}*)^l *^{-r}$ for some $l \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1\}$ and for each of the above two patterns there are only two freely irreducible words which follow this pattern: $(ab^{-1})^l a^r$ and $(ba^{-1})^l b^r$ for $(**^{-1})^l *^r$, and $(a^{-1}b)^l a^{-r}$ and $(b^{-1}a)^l b^{-r}$ for $(*^{-1}*)^l *^{-r}$. In each case one of this word is equal to ξ_{II} and the other is equal to $\widetilde{\xi_{II}}$. This implies $D_{i,v}(\xi_{II}) = \widetilde{\xi_{II}}$ or $D_{i,v}(\xi_{II}) = \xi_{II}$ in each case.

Proposition 8. *For any nonempty pattern V there is a freely irreducible word $\xi \in Q_\pm^*$ which follows this pattern and such that $D_{i,z}(\xi) = \xi_I \widetilde{\xi_{II}}$ for some $z \in X_i$, where $i = \lambda_{|V|}$.*

Proof. Let $V = V_I V_{II}$. At first let us assume that $V_I \neq \emptyset$ and the last letter of V_I is $*$. Then there is $k \geq 0$ such that

$$V_I = *^{n_1} *^{-m_1} *^{n_2} *^{-m_2} \dots *^{n_k} *^{-m_k} *^m,$$

where $n_1 \geq 0$, $n_j > 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$, $m_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $m > 0$. For the second part of V we have in this case: $V_{II} = (**^{-1})^l *^r$ for some $l \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(l, r) \neq (0, 0)$. Let $\xi = \xi_I \xi_{II} \in Q_\pm^*$ be a word with the first part

$$\xi_I = a^{n_1} b^{-m_1} a^{n_2} b^{-m_2} \dots a^{n_k} b^{-m_k} a^m$$

and the second part $\xi_{II} = (ab^{-1})^l a^r$. Then ξ is freely irreducible and follows the pattern V. For the number $i = \lambda_{|V|}$ we have: $r_i > 2|V| \geq N + m + 1$, where $N = n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_k$. Also $D_{i,x}(q\eta) = \varphi_i(q, x) D_{i,\psi_i(q,x)}(\eta)$ for all $\eta \in Q_\pm^*$, $q \in Q_\pm$, $x \in X_i$. Since $\psi_i(a, x) = x + 1$ and $\varphi_i(a, x) = a$ for $1 < x < r_i$, we obtain

$$D_{i,z}(\xi) = a^{n_1} D_{i,z+n_1}(b^{-m_1} a^{n_2} b^{-m_2} \dots a^{n_k} b^{-m_k} a^m \xi_{II}),$$

where $z = r_i - N - m + 1 > 2$. Further, $\psi_i(b^{-1}, x) = x$ and $\varphi_i(b^{-1}, x) = b^{-1}$ for $2 < x < r_i$. In consequence

$$D_{i,z}(\xi) = a^{n_1} b^{-m_1} D_{i,z+n_1}(a^{n_2} b^{-m_2} \dots a^{n_k} b^{-m_k} a^m \xi_{II}).$$

Repeating this reasoning we obtain

$$D_{i,z}(\xi) = a^{n_1} b^{-m_1} \dots a^{n_k} b^{-m_k} a^{m-1} D_{i,z_0}(a\xi_{II}),$$

where $z_0 = z + N + m - 1 = r_i$. Since $\psi_i(a, r_i) = 1$ and $\varphi_i(a, r_i) = a$, we obtain

$$D_{i,z_0}(a\xi_{II}) = D_{i,r_i}(a\xi_{II}) = aD_{i,1}(\xi_{II}),$$

and in consequence $D_{i,z}(\xi) = \xi_I D_{i,1}(\xi_{II})$. Further, since $\psi_i(a, 1) = 2$, $\varphi_i(a, 1) = b$, $\psi_i(b^{-1}, 2) = 1$ and $\varphi_i(b^{-1}, 2) = a^{-1}$, we have for every $\eta \in Q_\pm^*$:

$$D_{i,1}(ab^{-1}\eta) = bD_{i,2}(b^{-1}\eta) = ba^{-1} D_{i,1}(\eta).$$

Thus $D_{i,1}((ab^{-1})^l \eta) = (ba^{-1})^l D_{i,1}(\eta)$ and

$$D_{i,1}(\xi_{II}) = D_{i,1}((ab^{-1})^l a^r) = (ba^{-1})^l D_{i,1}(a^r) = (ba^{-1})^l b^r = \widetilde{\xi_{II}}.$$

Consequently $D_{i,z}(\xi) = \xi_I \widetilde{\xi_{II}}$. Now, let us assume that the last letter of V_I is $*^{-1}$. Then there is $k \geq 0$ such that

$$V_I = *^{-n_1} *^{m_1} *^{-n_2} *^{m_2} \dots *^{-n_k} *^{m_k} *^{-m},$$

where $n_1 \geq 0$, $n_j > 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq k$, $m_j > 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$, $m > 0$. For the second part of V we have in this case: $V_{II} = (*^{-1}*)^l *^{-r}$ for some $l \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(l, r) \neq (0, 0)$. Let $\xi = \xi_I \xi_{II} \in Q_\pm^*$ be a word with the first part

$$\xi_I = a^{-n_1} b^{m_1} a^{-n_2} b^{m_2} \dots a^{-n_k} b^{m_k} a^{-m}$$

and the second part $\xi_{II} = (a^{-1}b)^l a^{-r}$. Then ξ is freely irreducible and follows the pattern V. Similarly, as in the previous case, we show that $D_{i,z}(\xi) = \xi_I \widetilde{\xi_{II}}$, where $i = \lambda_{|V|}$ and $z = N + m + 2 \in X_i$. Now, let us assume that $V_I = \emptyset$. Then $V = (**^{-1})^l *^r$ or $V = (*^{-1}*)^l *^{-r}$ for some $l \geq 0$, $r \in \{0, 1\}$ and $(l, r) \neq (0, 0)$. In the first case we take $\xi = (ab^{-1})^l a^r$ and in the second case we take $\xi = (a^{-1}b)^l a^{-r}$. In particular $\xi_I = \emptyset$ and $\xi_{II} = \xi$. As before, we verify for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ that $D_{i,1}(\xi) = \xi_I \widetilde{\xi_{II}}$ in the first case and $D_{i,2}(\xi) = \xi_I \widetilde{\xi_{II}}$ in the second case.

Proposition 9. *Let $\xi, \eta \in Q_{\pm}^{*}$ be two freely irreducible words following the same pattern. Then $D_{\lambda_n,w}(\xi) = \eta$ for some $w \in X_{(\lambda_n)}^{*}$, where $n = |\xi| = |\eta|$.*

Proof. Let V be the pattern of the words ξ and η. We use the induction on the length n of the pattern V. The thesis is obvious in case $n = 0$. If $n = 1$, then the thesis holds as for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $D_{i,11}(a) = a$, $D_{i,1}(a) = b$, $D_{i,2}(b) = b$, $D_{i,1}(b) = a$, $D_{i,22}(a^{-1}) = a^{-1}$, $D_{i,2}(a^{-1}) = b^{-1}$, $D_{i,1}(b^{-1}) = b^{-1}$ and $D_{i,2}(b^{-1}) = a^{-1}$.

Let $n = |V| \geq 2$ and let us assume that the thesis holds for all nonempty patterns with the length smaller than n. Let $V = V_I V_{II}$ be the decomposition of V into the first and the second part. Similarly, let $\xi = \xi_I \xi_{II}$ and $\eta = \eta_I \eta_{II}$ be decompositions of ξ and η in their first and second parts. By Proposition 8 there is a freely irreducible word $\zeta = \zeta_I \zeta_{II} \in Q_{\pm}^{*}$ which follows the pattern V and such that $D_{\lambda_n,z}(\zeta) = \zeta_I \widetilde{\zeta_{II}}$ for some $z \in X_{\lambda_n}$.

The words ξ_{II}, η_{II} and ζ_{II} are freely irreducible and follow the pattern V_{II}, which is equal to $(**^{-1})^l *^r$ or to $(*^{-1}*)^l *^{-r}$ for some $l \geq 0$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$. Also the words $\widetilde{\xi_{II}}$, $\widetilde{\eta_{II}}$ and $\widetilde{\zeta_{II}}$ are freely irreducible and follow the pattern V_{II}. As in the proof of Lemma 9 we see that for each of the above two patterns there are only two freely irreducible words which follow this pattern. Since $\xi_{II} \neq \widetilde{\xi_{II}}$, $\eta_{II} \neq \widetilde{\eta_{II}}$ and $\zeta_{II} \neq \widetilde{\zeta_{II}}$, we obtain:

$$\left\{\xi_{II}, \widetilde{\xi_{II}}\right\} = \{\eta_{II}, \widetilde{\eta_{II}}\} = \left\{\zeta_{II}, \widetilde{\zeta_{II}}\right\}. \tag{14}$$

The words ξ_I, η_I and ζ_I are freely irreducible and follow the pattern V_I with the length $k = |V_I| < |V| = n$. Thus, by the inductive assumption, we have $\zeta_I = D_{\lambda_k,v}(\xi_I) = D_{\lambda_k,u}(\eta_I)$ for some $v, u \in X_{(\lambda_k)}^{*}$. Since $\lambda_n \geq \lambda_k$, by Proposition 5 we have $D_{\lambda_k,v} \sim_k D_{\lambda_n,v'}$ and $D_{\lambda_k,u} \sim_k D_{\lambda_n,u'}$ for some $v', u' \in X_{(\lambda_n)}^{*}$. Then $\zeta_I = D_{\lambda_n,v'}(\xi_I) = D_{\lambda_n,u'}(\eta_I)$. Now, by Lemma 2 and by the equalities (14) we see that each of the words $D_{\lambda_n,v'}(\xi)$ and $D_{\lambda_n,u'}(\eta)$ is equal to $\zeta_I \zeta_{II} = \zeta$ or to $\zeta_I \widetilde{\zeta_{II}} = D_{\lambda_n,z}(\zeta)$. If $D_{\lambda_n,v'}(\xi) = D_{\lambda_n,u'}(\eta)$, then $g(\xi) = \eta$, where $g = (D_{\lambda_n,u'})^{-1} D_{\lambda_n,v'}$. By Proposition 6 there is $w \in X_{(\lambda_n)}^{*}$ such that $D_{\lambda_n,w}(\xi) = g(\xi) = \eta$. If $D_{\lambda_n,v'}(\xi) \neq D_{\lambda_n,u'}(\eta)$, then one of the words $D_{\lambda_n,v'}(\xi)$, $D_{\lambda_n,u'}(\eta)$ is equal to ζ and the other is equal to $D_{\lambda_n,z}(\zeta)$. If $D_{\lambda_n,v'}(\xi) = \zeta$ and $D_{\lambda_n,u'}(\eta) = D_{\lambda_n,z}(\zeta)$, then $g(\xi) = \eta$, where $g = (D_{\lambda_n,u'})^{-1} D_{\lambda_n,z} D_{\lambda_n,v'}$. If $D_{\lambda_n,v'}(\xi) = D_{\lambda_n,z}(\zeta)$ and $D_{\lambda_n,u'}(\eta) = \zeta$, then $g(\xi) = \eta$, where $g = (D_{\lambda_n,u'})^{-1} (D_{\lambda_n,z})^{-1} D_{\lambda_n,v'}$. As in the previous case, we obtain by Proposition 6 that there is $w \in X_{(\lambda_n)}^{*}$ such that $D_{\lambda_n,w}(\xi) = g(\xi) = \eta$. The claim follows.

Proposition 10. *Let $\xi, \eta, \zeta \in Q_{\pm}^{*}$ be freely irreducible words following the same pattern. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $w, v \in X_{(1)}^{*}$ such that $D_{1,w}(\xi) = \eta$, $D_{1,v}(\xi) = \zeta$ and $|w| = |v| \geq k$.*

Proof. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let us denote $n = |\xi| = |\eta| = |\zeta|$. Let $u \in X_{(1)}^{*}$ be any word of the length $|u| \geq \max\{k, \lambda_n\}$. Let us denote $D_{1,u}(\xi) = \xi'$. Then by Lemma 1 the word ξ' is freely irreducible and follows the same pattern as ξ. Thus by proposition 9 there is $u' \in X_{(\lambda_n)}^{*}$ such that $D_{\lambda_n,u'}(\xi') = \eta$. Since $1 + |u| \geq \lambda_n$,

by Proposition 5 we have $D_{1+|u|,u''} \sim_n D_{\lambda_n,u'}$ for some $u'' \in X_{(1+|u|)}$. Then $D_{1+|u|,u''}(\xi') = \eta$. In consequence for the word $w = uu'' \in X^*_{(1)}$ we have:

$$D_{1,w}(\xi) = D_{1,uu''}(\xi) = D_{1+|u|,u''} D_{1,u}(\xi) = D_{1+|u|,u''}(\xi') = \eta.$$

Also we have $|w| \geq |u| \geq \max\{k, \lambda_n\}$. Similarly, we show that there is a word $v \in X^*_{(1)}$ such that $|v| \geq \max\{k, \lambda_n\}$ and $D_{1,v}(\xi) = \zeta$. If $|w| = |v|$, then we obtain the thesis. So, let us assume $|v| < |w|$ and let us denote $l = |w| - |v|$. For $1 \leq i \leq l$ let $x_i \in X_{i+|v|}$ be any letter such that $n+2 \leq x_i \leq r_{i+|v|} - n + 1$. Such a letter exists as $r_{i+|v|} \geq r_{|v|} \geq r_{\lambda_n} > 2n$. As in the proof of Proposition 7 we show that the dual mappings $D_{|v|+i,x_i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq l)$ are n-equivalent to $Id_{Q^*_\pm}$. In particular, for the word $w' = x_1 \dots x_l \in X^*_{(1+|v|)}$ we obtain

$$D_{1+|v|,w'}(\zeta) = D_{|v|+l,x_l} D_{|v|+l-1,x_{l-1}} \dots D_{|v|+1,x_1}(\zeta) = \zeta.$$

Consequently, for the word $vw' \in X^*_{(1)}$ we obtain

$$D_{1,vw'}(\xi) = D_{1+|v|,w'} D_{1,v}(\xi) = D_{1+|v|,w'}(\zeta) = \zeta.$$

Since $|vw'| = |v| + |w'| = |v| + l = |w|$, we obtain the thesis.

Proof (**of Theorem 1).** Let us assume that the group $G(A)$ generated by the automaton A is not free. Then there is $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and the integers $n_1, \dots, n_s$, $m_1, \dots, m_s$ not all equal to 0 and such that $n_i \neq 0$ for $1 < i \leq s$, $m_i \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i < s$ and

$$A^{n_1}_{1,a} A^{m_1}_{1,b} \dots A^{n_s}_{1,a} A^{m_s}_{1,b} = Id_{X^*_{(1)}}. \tag{15}$$

In particular, the word $\xi = a^{n_1} b^{m_1} \dots a^{n_s} b^{m_s} \in Q^*_\pm$ is freely irreducible and not empty. By the equalities (11) we obtain that the left side of (15) is equal to $B_{1,\xi}$. Thus $B_{1,\xi} = Id_{X^*_{(1)}}$. Let ξ_{II} be the second part of the word $\xi = \xi_I \xi_{II}$. Then there are $l \geq 0$ and $r \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $(l, r) \neq (0, 0)$ and

$$\xi_{II} \in \{(ab^{-1})^l a^r,\ (ba^{-1})^l b^r,\ (a^{-1}b)^l a^{-r},\ (b^{-1}a)^l b^{-r}\}.$$

By easy computation we obtain

$$\xi^{-1}_{II} \widetilde{\xi_{II}} \in \{\eta_1, \eta_1^{-1}, \eta_2, \eta_2^{-1}\}, \tag{16}$$

where $\eta_1 = a^r (b^{-1}a)^{2l} b^{-r}$, $\eta_2 = a^{-r} (ba^{-1})^{2l} b^r$. Let us take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $r_k > 2l + r + 2$. By Proposition 10 there are $w, v \in X^*_{(1)}$ with $|w| = |v| \geq k$ and such that $D_{1,w}(\xi) = \xi$ and $D_{1,v}(\xi) = \xi'$, where $\xi' = \xi_I \widetilde{\xi_{II}}$. Let us denote $i = 1+|w| = 1+|v|$. Then by Proposition 3 we obtain $B_{1,\xi}(wu) = B_{1,\xi}(w) B_{i,\xi}(u)$ and $B_{1,\xi}(vu) = B_{1,\xi}(v) B_{i,\xi'}(u)$ for all $u \in X^*_{(i)}$. Since $B_{1,\xi} = Id_{X^*_{(1)}}$, we obtain $B_{i,\xi} = Id_{X^*_{(i)}}$ from the first of the above two equalities and $B_{i,\xi'} = Id_{X^*_{(i)}}$ from the second one. In consequence

$$Id_{X^*_{(i)}} = B_{i,\xi'} (B_{i,\xi})^{-1} = B_{i,\xi'} B_{i,\xi^{-1}} = B_{i,\xi^{-1}\xi'} = B_{i,\xi^{-1}_{II} \widetilde{\xi_{II}}}.$$

Thus, by the membership (16) and the above equality we obtain: $B_{i,\eta_1} = Id_{X^*_{(i)}}$ or $B_{i,\eta_2} = Id_{X^*_{(i)}}$. By formula (13) the restrictions of $B_{i,a}$ and $B_{i,b}$ to the set of one-letter words coincide with σ_i and τ_i, respectively. Since we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B_{i,\eta_1} &= B_{i,a^r(b^{-1}a)^{2l}b^{-r}} = B_{i,b}^{-r}(B_{i,a}B_{i,b}^{-1})^{2l}B_{i,a}^r, \\ B_{i,\eta_2} &= B_{i,a^{-r}(ba^{-1})^{2l}b^r} = B_{i,b}^{r}(B_{i,a}^{-1}B_{i,b})^{2l}B_{i,a}^{-r}, \end{aligned}$$

the restrictions of B_{i,η_1} and B_{i,η_2} to the set of one-letter words coincide with the permutations, respectively, π_1 and π_2 of the set X_i, where:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 &= \tau_i^{-r}(\sigma_i\tau_i^{-1})^{2l}\sigma_i^r = \tau_i^r(\sigma_i\tau_i)^{2l}\sigma_i^r, \\ \pi_2 &= \tau_i^r(\sigma_i^{-1}\tau_i)^{2l}\sigma_i^{-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $i > k$, we have: $r_i \geq r_k > 2l + r + 2$. Beside that $\tau_i(x) = x$ for every $x \in X_i \setminus \{1, 2\}$. In consequence, π_1 sends 3 into $\pi_1(3) = \sigma_i^{2l+r}(3) \neq 3$. Similarly, π_2 sends r_i into $\pi_2(r_i) = \sigma_i^{-2l-r}(r_i) \neq r_i$. In particular, neither π_1 nor π_2 is an identity permutation and we obtain the contradiction.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to the referee for pointing out the necessity for making changes in the introduction and for detailed comments as well as for remarkable insights concerning the proofs of Proposition 1, Lemma 1 and Proposition 8.

References

[1] L. Bartholdi, I. Reznykov, V. Sushchanskyy. The Smallest Mealy Automaton of Intermediate Growth. *J. Algebra* 295(2):387-414, 2006.

[2] A. Brunner, S. Sidki. The generation of $GL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ by finite state automata. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 8(1):127-139, 1998.

[3] A. Cain. Automaton semigroups. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 410(47-49):5022-5038, 2009.

[4] A. Erschler. Piecewise automatic groups. *Duke Math. J.*, 134(3):591-613, 2006.

[5] A. Erschler. Automatically presented groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 1(1):47-59, 2007.

[6] M. Garzon, Y. Zalcstein. The complexity of Grigorchuk groups with application to cryptography. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 88(1):83-98, 1991.

[7] Y. Glasner, S. Mozes. Automata and square complexes. *Geom. Dedicata*, 111:43-64, 2005.

[8] R. Grigorchuk. Degrees of growth of p-groups and torsion free groups. *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)*, 126(168)(2):194-214, 286, 1985.

[9] R. Grigorchuk. Solved and Unsolved Problems around One Group in *Infinite Groups: Geometric, Combinatorial and Dynamical Aspects*, (Birkhauser, Basel, 2005), Prog. Math. 248, pp. 117218.

[10] R. Grigorchuk, V. Nekrashevych, V. Sushchanskyy. Automata, Dynamical Systems and Groups. *Proceedings of Steklov Institute of Mathematics*, 231:128-203, 2000.

[11] O. Macedoska, V. Nekrashevych, V. Sushchanskyy. Commensurators of groups and reversible automata. *Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk Ukr.*, *Mat. Pryr. Tekh. Nauky*, 36-39, 2000.

[12] B. Mikolajczak. Algebraic and structural automata theory, translated from the *Polish Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, Vol. 44 (North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1991).

[13] V. Nekrashevych. Self-similar Groups. (Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005), Math. Surv. Monogr. 117.

[14] A. Olijnyk, V. Sushchanskyy. Free group of infinite unitriangular matrices. *Math. Notes*, 67(3):320-324, 2000.

[15] D. Savchuk, Ya. Vorobets. Automata generating free products of groups of order 2. *J. Algebra*, 336(1):53-66, 2011.

[16] B. Steinberg, M. Vorobets, Ya. Vorobets. Automata over a binary alphabet generating free groups of even rank. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 21(1-2):329-354, 2011.

[17] M. Vorobets, Ya. Vorobets. On a free group of transformations defined by an automaton. *Geom. Dedicata*, 124:237-249, 2007.

[18] M. Vorobets, Ya. Vorobets. On a series of finite automata defining free transformation groups. *Groups Geom. Dyn.*, 4(2):377405, 2010.

[19] A. Woryna. On permutation groups generated by time-varying Mealy automata. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 67(1-2):115-130, 2005.

[20] A. Woryna. Representations of a free group of rank two by time-varying Mealy automata. *Discuss. Math. Gen. Algebra Appl.*, 25(1):119-134, 2005.

[21] A. Woryna. On generation of wreath products of cyclic groups by two state time varying Mealy automata. *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.*, 16(2):397-415, 2006.

[22] A. Woryna. The generalized dihedral groups $\mathrm{Dih}(\mathbb{Z}^n)$ as groups generated by time-varying automata. *Algebra Discrete Math.*, (3):98-111, 2008.